

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,130	03/31/2004	Norbert Steven Parsoneault	8209.053.NPUS01	9169	
75635 7590 08/04/2010 McCarthy Law Group			EXAMINER		
5830 Northwe	st Expressway, #353		KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL		
Oklahoma City, OK 73132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/815,130	PARSONEAULT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JUSTIN KRAUSE	3656	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Qi The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fer. appropriate extensing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fer. appropriate extension fee hourder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(d).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) Prey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues forappeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
 Interest and the transfer of the compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Image: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 28 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the not
allowable claim(s).
7. Note that it is properties and an explanation of how the new or appended. The status of the claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>28</u> . Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1.6-14.21 and 26.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. If he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
The claims stand as rejected in the previous office action. It is within the level of ordinary still in the art to combine the reference as stated in the previous office action. It is within the level of ordinary still in the art to combine the reference as stated in the previous office action. The claims are not specific as to the fluid used in the bearing, the structure disclosed in the
rejection is equally suited for use with any type of fluid, liquid or gas. Such interchangeability of fluid is known in the art, see for example, US 6.722.785, claim 5. Applicant's request for an interview is denied. Applicant has previously been granted numerous interviews, none of which have advanced prosecution, another interview at this time would not be productive for the purpose of
advancing prosecution. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s),
12. ☐ Note the attached information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F10/36/06) Faper No(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) /Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656 Application No.

/Justin Krause/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100802